ED 123 802

EA 008 363

AUTHOR. TITLE

Herzmark, Paula

Title IX: Educational and Implementation Activities

of State Departments of Education.

PUB DATE

Apr 76

11p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the NOTE -

American Educational Research Association (San

Francisco, California, April 19-23, 1976)

EDRS PRICE .

MF-\$0.83 H€-\$1.67 Plus Postage.

*Affirmative Action; Elementary Secondary Education; DESCRIPTORS

> *Federal Legislation; *Sex Discrimination; State Action: *State Departments of Education; *State

School District Relationship

IDENTIFIERS

*Education Amendments 1972 Title IX

ABSTRACT

Chief state school officers and state education departments are probably in the best position to make the spirit of Title IX a reality by aggressively implementing the federal law as well as the laws and policies of their legislatures and state boards. An Equal Rights for Women in Education Project of the Education Commission of the States survey of state departments of education investigated the extent to which they had begun to implement Title IX. It is clear that there is still a good deal of confusion as to the role of the state department in the implementation process and in the specifics of what is required of them. (Author/IRT)

Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not responsible for the quality of the original document. Réproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. ************

TITLE IX

U.S DEPARTMENT OF HEADTH.
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL HYSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

EDUCATIONAL AND IMPLEMENTATION

ACTIVITIES OF STATE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION

Paula Herzmark

QUESTION: IF IT TAKES THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT THREE YEARS TO DEVELOP
FINAL REGULATIONS TO ENFORCE A LAW PROHIBITING SEX DISCRIMINATION IN EDUCATION, HOW LONG WILL IT TAKE STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO IMPLEMENT
THE REGULATIONS?

MANY WOULD ADVISE US NOT TO HOLD OUR BREATH, POINTING OUT THAT
WASHINGTON'S LONG DELAY IN ISSUING THE REGULATION FOR TITLE IX IS EVIDENCE
THAT PROMPT GOVERNMENT ACTION, EVEN AT THE STATE LEVEL, IS NOT LIKELY ON
THIS ISSUE. SOME ALSO SUGGEST THAT THE STATES CAN BE EXPECTED TO MOVE ONLY
AS FAST AS THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PUSHES THEM--AND THAT, AFTER ALL, THE
ADMINISTRATION'S CIVIL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES TO DATE HAVEN'T SET ANY
SPEED RECORDS.

THERE ARE SEVERAL FACTORS, HOWEVER, THAT PROVIDE US WITH SOME GOOD REASONS WHY STATE OFFICIALS MIGHT FOLLOW THROUGH MORE PROMPTLY THAN THEIR FEDERAL COUNTERPARTS, THE MAJOR ONE BEING THEY LITERALLY CANNOT AFFORT NOT TO:

FIRST, FEDERAL LAW SAYS YOU CAN'T HAVE SEX DISCRIMINATION IN A FEDERALLY FUNDED PROGRAM. THAT MEANS ANY STATE THAT FAILS TO OBSERVE THE NEW ANTIDISCRIMINATION RULES MAY JEOPARDIZE MILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN

FEDERAL GRANTS AND CONTRACTS.

BUT EVEN WITHOUT THAT DANGER, THE STATES WOULD BE MAKING A SERIOUS

ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL MISTAKE NOT TO TAKE THE INITIATIVE SOON ON TITLE IX...

THAT IS BECAUSE FAILURE TO ACT WOULD ALMOST CERTAINLY ENCOURAGE LAWSUITS

AND COLLECTIVE-BARGAINING DEMANDS THAT, IN THE LONG RUN, COULD PROVE

PROHIBITIVELY EXPENSIVE.

THEN, TOO, THE STATES CAN EXPECT TEACHER ORGANIZATIONS TO INSIST INCREASINGLY ON CONTRACTUAL ASSURANCES AGAINST SEX DISCRIMINATION IN THE SCHOOLS.

HOW MUCH MORE APPROPRIATE IT WOULD BE FOR THE STATES TO DETERMINE ON THEIR OWN WHAT STEPS THEY MUST TAKE TO PROVIDE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY FOR ALL PEOPLE OF BOTH SEXES IN THEIR EDUCATIONAL SYSTEMS.

THE OBLIGATION RESTS ON SEVERAL LEVELS OF STATE GOVERNMENT--THE LEGISLATURE, THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION, THE CHIEF STATE SCHOOL OFFICER, THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE--AND LOCAL SCHOOL BOARDS.

TODAY, WE SHALL FOCUS ON THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION AND ITS

THE EQUAL RIGHTS FOR WOMEN IN EDUCATION PROJECT OF THE EDUCATION

COMMISSION OF THE STATES RECENTLY SURVEYED STATE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION



TO DETERMINE THE EXTENT TO WHICH THEY HAD BEGUN THE IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS WITH RESPECT TO TITLE IX. BEFORE DETAILING THE RESULTS OF THAT SURVEY, LET ME FIRST LAY OUT THE FIRST FOUR STEPS THAT SHOULD BE TAKEN AS SPECIFIED BY THE TITLE IX REGULATION:

- 1. DESIGNATE AN EMPLOYEE TO-COORDINATE TITLE IX RESPONSIBILITES...
- 2. DISSEMINATE A POLICY OF NON-DISCRIMINATION.
- 3. ADOPT AND PUBLISH GOVERNANCE PROCEDURES.
- 4. *BEGIN A SELF-EVALUATION TO IDENTIFY SEX DISCRIMIANTION IN POLICIES AND PRACTICES CONCERNING EMPLOYMENT AND STUDENTS.

ACCOMPLISHED THAT A SURVEY WAS CONDUCTED OF THE DESIGNEES (MANY OF WHOM WERE NOT OFFICIALLY DESIGNATED OR HAD NOT BEEN INFORMED OF IT BY THEIR SUPERVISORS). IN ANY EVENT, THE FOLLOWING SHOULD SUMMARIZE. THE RESULTS OF OUR FINDINGS AND I WILL MAKE AVAILABLE A CHART WHICH DETAILS OUR FINDINGS BY STATE.

AS OF APRIL 7, 1976, 44 STATES RESPONDED. SIX DID NOT. FOUR STATES HAVE NO DESIGNATED TITLE IX COORDINATOR, THUS ELIMINATING THE POSSIBILITY OF ELICITING FURTHER INFORMATION. LET US NOW EXAMINE SOME OF THE SPECIFICS:

1. EACH DESIGNEE WAS ASKED THE PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT AS TITLE IX COORDINATOR. THE RESPONSE WAS AS FOLLOWS:

FULL-TIME 7

La TIME OR MORE 6

1/3 TIME .4.

La TIME OR LESS 17

RESPONDED "PARTTIME" OR "VARIABLE" 5

NO ANSWER 1

SOLE PERSON RESPONSIBLE

YES 18 NO 22

3. DESIGNEES WERE ASKED IF MATERIALS HAVE BEEN DEVELOPED BY THIER DEPARTMENTS.

TWENTY TWO STATES HAVE DEVELOPED SOMETHING IN THE WAY OF MATERIALS. SOME

EXAMPLES INCLUDE:

ARIZONA - SUGGESTIONS FOR LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT COMPLIANCE

COLORADO - MEMOS '

CONNECTICUT - WORKSHOP INFORMATION SHEETS FOR LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

COORDINATORS; OUTLINES PROCEDURES AND ROLE OF COORDINATOR

DELAWARE - SUGGESTED POLICY GUIDE FOR LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS - PROHIBITING
SEX DISCRIMINATION IN EDUCATION

- FLORIDA - MEMOS TO LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS, CHECKLIST

IDAHO - AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PLAN

IOWA - MULTI-CULTURAL, NON-SEXIST CURRICULUM GUIDELINES

ILLINOIS -- TRANSLATION-OF TITLE IX

KENTUCKY - MEMOS, TIME CHARTS

LOUISIANA - IN PROCESS

MAINE - GUIDELINES FOR ELIMINATING STEREOTYPING IN CURRICULAR MATERIALS
MARYLAND - GUIDELINES FOR IMPLEMENTATION

MASSACHUSETTS - 622 MATERIALS; COMPLIANCE GUIDELINES FOR TITLE IX

AND CHAPTER 622

MINNESOTA - NUMEROUS MEMOS, GUIDELINES, ETC.

NEW HAMPSHIRE - MEMOS ON SELF-EVALUATION, AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

NEW YORK - CURRICULUM MANUAL

NORTH CAROLINA - SOURCE BOOK ON ELIMINATING SEXISM IN EDUCATION

OHIO - MEMOS, CURRICULUM MATERIALS

OREGON - GUIDE FOR HEARINGS, AND GUIDE FOR EQUAL EDUCATION OPPORTUNITIES

TEXAS - CHECKLIST FOR LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS

UTAH - MEMOS (SENSITIVE) _

VIRGINIA - ONLY DESEGREGATION MATERIALS

4. DESIGNEES WERE ASKED WHAT PROGRAMS OR ACTIVITIES HAD BEEN CONDUCTED OR WERE PLANNED WITH THE FOLLOWING RESPONSE:

WORKSHOPS FOR DEPARTMENT PERSONNEL

29

COMMUNITY MEETINGS

\15

TRAINING FOR LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS

35

OTHER (STATEWIDE, REGIONAL MEETINGS, ETC.) 10

NONE CONDUCTED

1

- 5. ASKED IF THERE HAD BEEN DISSEMINATION OF MATERIALS TO LOCAL SCHOOL.
 DISTRICTS, 30 RESPONDED IN THE AFFIRMATIVE.
- 6. ASKED THE LEVEL OF ACTIVITY IN THE LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS FROM THEIR PERSPECTIVE, THE ANSWERS RANGED THEM:

MINIMAL 6
MIXED 22
HIGH 6
UNKNOWN 6

7. ASKED IF THEY HAD IDENTIFIED LOCAL TITLE IX COORDINATORS, THE RESPONSE WAS:

ALL 15
MOST 9
SOME 6
NOT IDENTIFIED 12

8. ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY COMMUNICATION WITH LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS, THE RESPONSE WAS:

REGULAR 30

IRREGULAR 1

NONE 7

NO ANSWER 2

9. ASKED WHAT THEY CONSIDERED TO BE THEIR POSITIVE ACHIEVEMENTS, THE RESPONSE WAS:

AWARENESS 15

DEVELOPING TOOLS 5

INVOVLEMENT OF SUPERINTENDENTS AND LOCAL

STAFF 10

10. ASKED WHAT THE MAJOR PROBLEMS WERE FROM THEIR POINT OF VIEW, THE RESPONSE WAS:

NEGATIVE ATTITUDES 22

PROCEDURAL DIFFICULT. 15

DISSEMINATION OF

INFORMATION 13

TRAINING \ 17

BUDGET 25

IN ADDITION, TO SOME OF THESE HARD NUMBERS, IT WAS CLEAR THAT THERE IS STILL A GOOD DEAL OF CONFUSION AS TO THE ROLE OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT IN THE IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS, AND THE SPECIFICS AS TO WHAT WAS REQUIRED OF THEM. SOME STATE DEPARTMENTS ARE NOT EVEN CONVINCED THAT THEY MUST CONDUCT A SELF-EVALUATION ON THE STATE DEPARTMENT ITSELF. CLEARLY, WE HAVE A LONG WAY TO DO!

CHIEF STATE SCHOOL OFFICERS AND STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENTS PROBABLY

ARE IN THE BEST POSITION TO MAKE THE SPIRIT OF TITLE IX A REALITY. THEY

CAN AGGRESSIVELY IMPLEMENT THE FEDERAL LAW, AS WELL AS THE LAWS AND POLICIES

OF THEIR LEGISLATURES AND STATE BOARDS.

THEY CAN DEVELOP AN INITIAL AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PLAN AND PROVIDE.

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL EDUCATION AGENCIES. THEY ALSO CAN DEVELOP

CRITERIA AND SUPPORTIVE INFORMATION FOR COUNSELORS TO USE IN ELIMINATING

SEXISM FROM CAREER GUIDANCE, TESTING, TEXTBOOKS AND OTHER SCHOOL MATERIALS.

IN PENNSYLVANIA, FOR EXAMPLE, THE STATE DEPARTMENT AND SECRETARY OF EDUCATION JOHN C. PITTENGER MADE SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS IN RECRUITING AND UPGRADING THE EMPLOYMENT OF MINORITIES AND WOMEN IN KEY ADMINISTRATIVE AND POLICY-MAKING POSITIONS--INCLUDING IN ONE YEAR:

- A 13-PERCENT INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF WHITE WOMEN IN THE DEPARTMENT IN SALARY RANGES ABOVE \$13,000.
- A 366-PERCENT INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF WHITE WOMEN IN SALARY RANGES ABOVE \$17,000.
- A 160-PERCENT INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF BLACKS (MALE AND FEMALE).
 IN SALARY RANGES ABOVE \$17,000.
 - A 63-PERCENT INCREASE IN THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BLACKS.

IN ILLINOIS, ANOTHER STATE THAT HAS BEEN ACTIVE IN COMBATING SEX
DISCRIMINATION, STATE SUPERINTENDENT JOSEPH CRONIN SAYS THAT "TITLE IX
MAY NOT GO FAR ENOUGH. THE STATES MUST PAVE THE WAY THROUGH TECHNICAL.
ASSISTANCE TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS THAT, IN MANY CASES, DON'T EVEN KNOW THAT
THEY DISCRIMINATE."

ALTHOUGH MUCH OF THE TALK OVER THE PAST SEVERAL YEARS HAS FOCUSED ON FEDERAL LAW AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS, THE REAL DETERMINATION OF THE SUCCESS OR FAILURE OF TITLE IX RESTS WITH THE STATES.

MERELY BARRING SEX DISCRIMINATION BY PROVIDING FEDERAL LEGISLATION AND CHARGING A FEDERAL AGENCY WITH IMPLMENTING IT CANNOT, AS A PRACTICAL MATTER, BE EXPECTED TO RESULT IN RAPID TRANSFORMATION OF THE DEEPLY ROOTED POLICIES AND PRACTICES OF EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS. IN ADDITION, MERELY OUTLAWING PRESENT AND FUTURE DISCRIMINATION CANNOT BY ITSELF ELIMINATE THE CONSEQUENCES OF GENERATIONS OF DISCRIMINATION AND INFERIOR TREATMENT OF WOMEN AND GIRLS IN THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

STATE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION CAN AND SHOULD PLAY A LEADERSHIP

ROLE IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TITLE IX. AS MY REMARKS HAVE INDICATED,

HOWEVER, WE HAVE ONLY THE BARE BONES OF A BEGINNING OF THIS KIND OF

LEADERSHIP. LET US HOPE THAT ALL CHIEF STATE SCHOOL OFFICERS, AND STATE

DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION WILL ASPIRE TO THE WORDS OF JOHN GARDNER WHO SAID:

"LEADERS HAVE A SIGNIFICANT ROLE IN CREATING THE STATE

OF MIND THAT IS THE SOCIETY. THEY CAN SERVE AS SYMBOLS OF

UNITY IN THE SOCIETY. THEY CAN EXPRESS THE VALUES THAT HOLD

THE SOCIETY TOGETHER. MOST IMPORTANT, THEY CAN CONCEIVE AND

ARTICULATE GOALS THAT OF T PEOPLE OUT OF THEIR PETTY PREOCCUPATIONS,

CARRY THEM ABOVE THE CONFLICTS THAT TEAR SOCIETY APART,

AND UNITE THEM IN THE PURSUIT OF OBJECTIVES WORTHY OF THEIR

BEST EFFORT."